

Obesity Studies Evaluation Questions: Cycle 1

Question Number	Respondents	Question Type	Question																
<p>Thank you for your participation in the cycle 1 evaluation survey. Please respond to the following questions based on recent study activity. The evaluation team will use these responses to highlight the successes, challenges, and lessons learned during this cycle of the evaluation, develop recommendations for immediate study team and Coordinating Center (CC) action, and share ideas to consider for PCORnet infrastructure development and future PCORnet studies. (Included in survey settings)</p>																			
<p>Survey Participants: CC Liaison to the ABX and PBS studies, Selected DataMarts, DRN OC and QF team representatives, Selected members of the EASAG and EBSAG, Engagement leadership, Study Lead PIs, Study Lead PMs</p>																			
<p>Operational Processes</p>																			
1	CC Liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	File upload	Please provide a current CC organizational chart or other description that depicts how the CC organizational structure supports the activities of the ABX and PBS Studies.																
2	Study PIs Study PMs Engagement leadership SAG reps	Radio Buttons Paragraph Text	<p>Please provide a brief assessment of how the PCORnet Coordinating Center (CC) has supported the Scientific Core, Methods Core, Analytic team, and Stakeholder Advisory Group. Supportiveness: 0=Not applicable 1=Never supportive 2=Rarely supportive 3=Usually supportive 4=Often supportive 5=Always supportive</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Study Group</th> <th>Supportiveness (scale 1-5)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Scientific Core</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Methods Core</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Analytic Team</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>EASAG</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>[Optional] Please use the space below to describe how the CC has supported Scientific Core, Methods Core, analytic team, and EASAG activities.</p>	Study Group	Supportiveness (scale 1-5)	Scientific Core		Methods Core		Analytic Team		EASAG							
Study Group	Supportiveness (scale 1-5)																		
Scientific Core																			
Methods Core																			
Analytic Team																			
EASAG																			
3	CC Liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	Radio buttons	<p>Please indicate the frequency that the CC utilizes the communication tools listed below for communications with the study teams. Frequency of use: 0=Never 1=Once a month or less 2=Several times a month 3=Once a week 4=Several times a week 5=Daily (Radio buttons)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Communication tool</th> <th>Frequency</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Scheduled conference calls</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ad hoc telephone calls</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Face-to-face meetings</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Email</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Text messaging</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>iMeet Central</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other (please specify)</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Communication tool	Frequency	Scheduled conference calls		Ad hoc telephone calls		Face-to-face meetings		Email		Text messaging		iMeet Central		Other (please specify)	
Communication tool	Frequency																		
Scheduled conference calls																			
Ad hoc telephone calls																			
Face-to-face meetings																			
Email																			
Text messaging																			
iMeet Central																			
Other (please specify)																			

4	CC Liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	Paragraph text	If other communication tools were utilized by the CC to communicate with the study teams, please list them here and include their frequency of use.
5	CC Liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	Paragraph text	Please describe the type of information that is communicated via scheduled conference calls between the CC and the study teams.
6	CC Liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	Paragraph text	Please describe the type of information that is communicated via ad hoc telephone calls between the CC and the study teams. (Paragraph text)
7	CC Liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	Paragraph text	Please describe the type of information that is communicated via face-to-face meetings between the CC and the study teams.
8	CC Liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	Paragraph text	Please describe the type of information that is communicated via email between the CC and the study teams.
9	CC Liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	Paragraph text	Please describe the information that is communicated via text messaging between the CC and study teams
10	CC Liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	Paragraph text	Please describe the type of information that is communicated via iMeet Central between the CC and the study teams.
11	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	What contracting resources did the Coordinating Center provide to the study that facilitated study initiation?
12	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	What data sharing resources did the Coordinating Center provide to the study that facilitated study initiation?
13	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	What communications resources did the Coordinating Center provide to the study that facilitated study initiation?
14	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	What IRB resources did the Coordinating Center provide to the study that facilitated study initiation?
15	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	What data infrastructure resources did the Coordinating Center provide to the study that facilitated study initiation?
16	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	What Distributed Research Network resources did the Coordinating Center provide to the study that facilitated study initiation?
17	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	What engagement resources did the Coordinating Center provide to the study that facilitated study initiation?
18	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	Please describe any additional resources that the Coordinating Center provided to the study that facilitated study initiation.
19	Lead PIs Lead PMs	Paragraph text	Please describe any challenges that the study team encountered in working with the Coordinating Center, including, but not limited to, challenges related to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Contracting - Data sharing - Communications - IRB - Data infrastructure - Distributed Research network - Engagement of key stakeholder groups
20	Lead PMs	File upload	Please provide a current study organizational chart or description the study organizational structure.
21	Lead PMs	Matrix, Radio buttons	Please indicate the frequency that the study team utilizes the communication tools below for communications with participating CDRNs.

			<p>Frequency of use: 0=Never 1=Once a month or less 2=Several times a month 3=Once a week 4=Several times a week 5=Daily</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Communication tool</th> <th>Frequency of use</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Scheduled conference calls</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ad hoc telephone calls</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Face-to-face meetings</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Email</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Text messaging</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>iMeet Central</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other (please specify)</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Communication tool	Frequency of use	Scheduled conference calls		Ad hoc telephone calls		Face-to-face meetings		Email		Text messaging		iMeet Central		Other (please specify)	
Communication tool	Frequency of use																		
Scheduled conference calls																			
Ad hoc telephone calls																			
Face-to-face meetings																			
Email																			
Text messaging																			
iMeet Central																			
Other (please specify)																			
22	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	If other communication tools were used by the study team for communication with participating CDRNs, please list them here and include their frequency of use.																
23	Lead PMs	File upload	Please provide a current study timeline.																
24	Lead PMs	File upload	Please provide a current milestone tracking document for the study that includes study team and CDRN completion of milestones. For milestones in progress, please indicate percent complete.																
25	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	If any study milestones are significantly delayed in their completion, please explain why such delay(s) occurred.																
Stakeholder Engagement																			
26	Study PIs Study PMs Engagement leadership SAG reps	Radio buttons	How would you characterize stakeholder advisory group engagement in study team activities thus far in the project? Engagement: 1=Not engaged 2=Somewhat engaged 3=Moderately engaged 4=Very engaged																
27	Study PIs Study PMs Engagement leadership SAG reps	Paragraph text	Please describe how the SAG has had the opportunity to contribute to the development of initial study deliverables (e.g., governance plan, engagement plan, etc.).																
Contracting, IRB, and DSA																			
28	Lead PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Did you use any deliverables from the PCORnet Contracting Workgroup for contracting between PCORI and HPHCI for the study? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If yes, what deliverable(s) did you use? (Paragraph text) If no, please describe why you did not use any deliverables from the PCORnet Contracting Workgroup. 																
29	Lead PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Did you use any deliverables from the PCORnet Contracting WG for subcontracting between HPHCI and lead CDRN sites for the study? (Yes/No) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If yes, what deliverable(s) did you use? (Paragraph text) If no, please describe why you did not use any deliverables from the PCORnet Contracting Workgroup. (Paragraph text) 																
30	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	What were the IRB requirements for the study, as detailed in the PCORI Funding Announcement? (Paragraph text)																
31	Lead PMs	Paragraph text	What was the IRB model described in the Study initial application? (Paragraph text)																

32	Lead PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Is the IRB model included in the Study initial application the same approach that was implemented? If no, please describe the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The IRB model that has been selected and implemented for the study - The factors that caused this change in approach to the study IRB - The additional IRB model options considered when deciding not to use the model included in the study proposal
33	CC liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	Paragraph text	Please describe any factors that helped to facilitate execution of the PCORnet DSA among sites participating in the obesity demonstration projects.
34	CC liaison to the ABX and PBS studies	Paragraph text	Please describe any challenges experienced while executing the PCORnet DSA among sites participating in the obesity demonstration projects.
35	CDRN data/technical representatives	Radio buttons	Please rate the clarity and ease of use of the DRN OC DC workplan and instructions for executing the DC program package. (Radio buttons) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 = I did not review the workplan • 1 = Very unclear and difficult to use, I had a lot of questions • 2 = Somewhat unclear and somewhat difficult to use, I had some questions • 3 = Clear and easy to use, I had few questions • 4 = Very clear and very easy to use, I had no questions
CDM			
36	CDRN data/technical representatives	Radio buttons	Please rate the clarity of the PCORnet DRN Query Tool metadata (description, instructions, additional information). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 = The PCORnet DRN Query Tool metadata was not useful • 2 = The PCORnet DRN Query Tool metadata was somewhat useful, but I needed to request additional information • 3 = The PCORnet DRN Query Tool metadata was helpful, I did not need additional information • 4 = The PCORnet DRN Query Tool metadata was very helpful, I did not need any additional information
37	CDRN data/technical representatives	Radio buttons	Did you provide feedback on the data characterization workplan and associated documents? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 = Yes, my DataMart was a beta tester for the data characterization queries, and we provided feedback on the data characterization workplan and associated documents. • 2 = Yes, my DataMart provided feedback on the data characterization workplan and associated documents. My DataMart was not a beta tester for the data characterization queries. • 3 = No, my DataMart was a beta tester for the data characterization queries, but we did not provide feedback on the data characterization workplan and associated documents. • 4 = No, my DataMart did not provide feedback on the data characterization workplan and associated documents. My DataMart was not a beta tester for the data characterization queries.
38	CDRN data/technical representatives	Yes/No Paragraph text	Did you feel as though you were given enough time to provide feedback on the workplan and associated documents? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes, I felt as though I was given enough time to provide feedback on the workplan and associated documents.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No, I do not feel as though I was given enough time to provide feedback on the workplan and associated documents. <p>If no, how much additional time would you have wanted to have in order to provide feedback on the workplan and associated documents?</p>
39	CDRN data/technical representatives	Yes/No Paragraph text	<p>Did you feel as though your feedback on the workplan and associated documents was incorporated or addressed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, my comments on the workplan were incorporated or addressed. No, my comments on the workplan were not appropriately incorporated or addressed. <p>If no, how would you have liked to see your comments on the workplan get incorporated or addressed?</p>
40	CDRN data/technical representatives	Radio buttons	<p>Please rate your DataMart's ability to execute the data characterization program package.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 = DataMart was not able to execute the program package 2 = DataMart was able to execute the program package, but had issues 3 = DataMart was able to execute, with minor issues 4 = DataMart was able to execute without issue
41	CDRN data/technical representatives	Radio buttons	<p>Did you provide feedback on the data characterization program packages?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 = Yes, my DataMart was a beta tester for the data characterization queries, and we provided feedback on the data characterization program packages. 2 = Yes, my DataMart provided feedback on the data characterization program packages. My DataMart was not a beta tester for the data characterization queries. 3 = No, my DataMart was a beta tester for the data characterization queries, but we did not provide feedback on the data characterization program packages. 4 = No, my DataMart did not provide feedback on the data characterization program packages. My DataMart was not a beta tester for the data characterization queries.
42	CDRN data/technical representatives	Yes/No Paragraph text	<p>Did you feel as though you were given enough time to provide feedback on the data characterization program packages?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, I felt as though I was given enough time to provide feedback on the program packages. No, I do not feel as though I was given enough time to provide feedback on the program packages. <p>If no, how much additional time would you have wanted to have in order to provide feedback on the program packages?</p>
43	CDRN data/technical representatives	Yes/No Paragraph text	<p>Did you feel as though your feedback on the data characterization program packages was incorporated or addressed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, my comments on the program packages were incorporated or addressed. No, my comments on the program packages were not appropriately incorporated or addressed. <p>If no, how would you have liked to see your comments on the program packages get incorporated or addressed?</p>

44	CDRN data/technical representatives	Radio buttons	<p>Please rate the frequency of DRN OC office hours and forums. Were the forums conducted at the right frequency for your site to discuss the data characterization process?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 = I have not attended any DRN OC forums • 2 = DRN OC forums are conducted too frequently, I wish the DRN OC forums were conducted less often • 3 = DRN OC forums are conducted at the right frequency • 4 = DRN OC forums are conducted too infrequently, I wish the DRN OC forums were conducted more often • If answered 2 or 4, how often do you wish the DRN OC forums were conducted?
45	CDRN data/technical representatives	Radio buttons	<p>Did you feel as though representatives from the DRN OC were available to answer questions and troubleshoot as you engaged in PCORnet data characterization?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 = I don't know who my DRN OC contact is for questions and troubleshooting of the PCORnet data characterization • 2 = I never needed to contact DRN OC representatives for questions or troubleshooting for the PCORnet data characterization • 3 = I had difficulty contacting DRN OC representatives for questions or troubleshooting for the PCORnet data characterization; my contact was usually unavailable or not responsive • 4 = I was generally able to contact DRN OC representatives for questions or troubleshooting for the PCORnet data characterization; my contact was usually available and responsive • 5 = I was always able to contact the DRN OC representatives for questions or troubleshooting for the PCORnet data characterization when I needed them, and I received a prompt response
46	CDRN data/technical representatives	Yes/No	<p>Were the empirical data characterization (EDC) reports provided in a timely manner?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes, the empirical data characterization reports were provided in a timely manner • No, the empirical data characterization reports were not provided in a timely manner
47	CDRN data/technical representatives	Radio buttons	<p>Was the content of the empirical data characterization (EDC) report helpful?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 = The content of the empirical data characterization report was very helpful. • 2 = The content of the empirical data characterization report was mostly helpful. • 3 = The content of the empirical data characterization report was somewhat helpful. • 4 = The content of the empirical data characterization report was not helpful.
48	CDRN data/technical representatives	Yes/No Paragraph text Paragraph text	<p>Are there additional fields that you wish the empirical data characterization report included?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes, I wish the empirical data characterization report included additional information • No, the empirical data characterization report included all of the information that I needed <p>If yes, what additional fields do you wish the empirical data characterization report included?</p> <p>Is there information included in the empirical data characterization report that was not useful for your DataMart?</p>
49	DRN OC reps	Paragraph text	<p>Please describe successes of the DRN OC during the development and execution of the data characterization query process. Specifically, please identify successes related to workplan development, program package development and execution, the empirical data characterization reports, and work with the DataMarts.</p>

50	DRN OC reps	Paragraph text	Please describe challenges of the DRN OC during the development and execution of the data characterization query process. Specifically, please identify successes related to workplan development, program package development and execution, the empirical data characterization reports, and work with the DataMarts.								
DRN											
51	QF team rep	Paragraph text	Please list the personnel required for development of the wave 1 study-specific data characterization query. Please include the individuals' role in the ABX study, role in query development, and role at the home institution for each individual listed.								
52	Jessica Sturtevant	Matrix, radio buttons	<p>Please indicate the time required for development of wave 1 study-specific data characterization query. Please use the following scale to indicate time required for specification and program development: 1 = 1-2 weeks 2 = 3-4 weeks 3 = 5-8 weeks 4 = 3-4 months 5 = greater than 4 months</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Query development step</th> <th>Time required for development</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Functional specifications</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Technical specifications</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Program development</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Query development step	Time required for development	Functional specifications		Technical specifications		Program development	
Query development step	Time required for development										
Functional specifications											
Technical specifications											
Program development											

Email Questions

Email Recipients	Question
CC liaison to study teams	Please list the CC personnel who support the study teams, including their names, CC role, study role, and percent effort.
Study PMs	Please list the personnel who are associated with the study leadership (at the scientific core and CDRN levels), with the role and effort (%) for each.
Study PMs	Please list the study personnel involved in contracting and subcontracting for the study.
Study PMs	<p>How much time was required for the contracting process between PCORI and the lead site? Please provide the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date contract received from HPHCI • Date contract executed • Please describe any resources, processes, personnel, etc. that helped with the efficiency of the contracting process between PCORI and the lead site • Please describe any challenges you encountered in the contracting process between PCORI and the lead site
Study PMs	<p>How much time was required for the subcontracting process between the lead site and the lead CDRN sites? Please provide the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date subcontract received by CDRN lead site • Date subcontract executed • Please describe any resources, processes, personnel, etc. that helped with the efficiency of the contracting process between the lead site and the CDRN lead sites • Please describe any challenges you encountered in the contracting process between the lead site and the CDRN lead sites
Lead CDRN PMs	<p>How much time was required for the subcontracting process between the lead CDRN sites and the additional participating CDRN sites? Please provide the following information:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date(s) subcontract(s) received by additional participating CDRN sites • Date(s) subcontract(s) executed • Date subcontract executed • Please describe any resources, processes, personnel, etc. that helped with the efficiency of the contracting process between the lead CDRN site and additional participating CDRN sites • Please describe any challenges you encountered in the contracting process between the lead CDRN site and the additional participating CDRN sites
Lead CDRN PMs	Please describe the IRB model used at your CDRN for IRB approval for the study. Specifically, have you implemented a reliance or centralized model at your CDRN, or is each site participating in the study completing its own IRB for approval?
Lead CDRN PMs	<p>Please estimate the time (in weeks) required for IRB application and indicate the time required for IRB approval at your CDRN lead site as well as additional subcontracted sites for the study. Please use the following scale to indicate time for application development and approval:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 = 1-2 weeks • 2 = 3-4 weeks • 3 = 5-8 weeks • 4 = 3-4 months • 5 = greater than 4 months
Lead CDRN PMs	If applicable, please list the fees required for IRB approval at your CDRN lead site and additional subcontracted sites, including the IRB fee (in dollars) and the purpose of this fee.

Obesity Studies Survey and Email Evaluation Questions: Cycle 2

Survey Questions

Question Number	Survey Participants	Question Type	Question
<p>Thank you for your participation in the cycle 2 evaluation survey. Please respond to the following questions based on recent study activity. The evaluation team will use these responses to highlight the successes, challenges, and lessons learned during this cycle of the evaluation, develop recommendations for immediate study team and Coordinating Center (CC) action, and share ideas to consider for PCORnet infrastructure development and future PCORnet studies. (Included in survey settings)</p>			
<p>Survey Participants: Study PIs, Study PMs, QF team, Methods Core PM, CC liaison to the studies, CDRN study PMs, stakeholder engagement representatives</p>			
<p>Operational Processes</p>			
1	Study PIs Study PMs	Radio buttons Paragraph text	<p>Please provide a brief assessment of how the PCORnet Coordinating Center (including the CC leadership, PCORnet PMO, DRN OC, and/or the CC liaisons) has supported the Scientific Core since July 1, 2016 using the following scale:</p> <p>Supportiveness: 0=Not applicable 1=Never supportive 2=Rarely supportive 3=Usually supportive 4=Often supportive 5=Always supportive</p> <p>1a. Please describe how the PCORnet CC has supported Scientific Core activities.</p>
2	Study PIs Study PMs QF Team Methods Core PM	Radio buttons Paragraph text	<p>Please provide a brief assessment of how the PCORnet Coordinating Center (including the CC leadership, PCORnet PMO, DRN OC, and/or the CC liaisons) has supported the Methods Core since July 1, 2016 using the following scale:</p> <p>Supportiveness: 0=Not applicable 1=Never supportive 2=Rarely supportive 3=Usually supportive 4=Often supportive 5=Always supportive</p> <p>2a. Please describe how the PCORnet CC has supported Methods Core activities.</p>

3	Study PMs QF team	Radio buttons Paragraph text	<p>Please provide a brief assessment of how the PCORnet Coordinating Center (including the CC leadership, PCORnet PMO, DRN OC, and/or the CC liaisons) has supported the Analytic Team since July 1, 2016 using the following scale:</p> <p>Supportiveness: 0=Not applicable 1=Never supportive 2=Rarely supportive 3=Usually supportive 4=Often supportive 5=Always supportive</p> <p>3a. Please describe how the PCORnet CC has supported Analytic Team activities.</p>
4	CC liaisons to the studies Study PMs	Multiple answers/ Checkboxes Paragraph text	<p>Please indicate the key communication tools that PCORnet leadership utilizes for communication with the study teams. (Please check all that apply.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conference calls • Ad hoc telephone calls • Email • iMeet Central • PCORnet Weekly Announcement • Other <p>4a. If other, please specify.</p>
5	Study PMs	Multiple answers/ Checkboxes Paragraph text	<p>Please indicate the key communication tools that the PCORnet PMO utilizes for communication with the study teams. (Please check all that apply.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conference calls • Ad hoc telephone calls • Email • iMeet Central • PCORnet Weekly Announcement • Other <p>5a. If other, please specify.</p>
6	Study PMs	Multiple answers/ Checkboxes Paragraph text	<p>Please indicate the key communication tools that the DRN OC utilizes for communication with the study teams. (Please check all that apply.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conference calls • Ad hoc telephone calls

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Email • iMeet Central • PCORnet Weekly Announcement • Other <p>6a. If other, please specify.</p>
7	CC liaisons to the studies Study PMs	Multiple answers/ Checkboxes Paragraph text	<p>Please indicate the key communication tools that the CC liaisons utilize for communication with the study teams. (Please check all that apply.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conference calls • Ad hoc telephone calls • Email • iMeet Central • PCORnet Weekly Announcement • Other <p>7a. If other, please specify.</p>
8	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text Paragraph text Paragraph text	<p>Does iMeet Central help to facilitate study activity?</p> <p>8a. If yes, please describe how iMeet Central helps to facilitate study activity.</p> <p>8b. If no, please describe how iMeet Central does not facilitate study activity.</p> <p>8c. If no, please describe how iMeet Central could better assist study activity.</p>
9	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text Paragraph text Paragraph text	<p>Does the PCORnet Weekly Announcement help to facilitate study communications?</p> <p>9a. If yes, please describe how the PCORnet Weekly Announcement helps to facilitate study communications.</p> <p>9b. If no, please describe how the PCORnet Weekly Announcement does not facilitate study activity.</p> <p>9c. If no, please describe how the PCORnet Weekly Announcement could better assist study activity.</p>
10	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	<p>Please describe any challenges that the study team encountered in working with the Coordinating Center since July 1, 2016, including, but not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications • Leadership

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data infrastructure and querying (e.g., data characterization and research capacity) • Engagement of key stakeholder groups
11	Study PMs	Matrix, radio buttons	<p>Please indicate the frequency of the following study calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ODLC • Scientific Team • Study PI • Study PM • Methods Core • Stakeholder Advisory Group • Ad hoc calls <p>Options: Too frequent; about right; too infrequent</p>
12	Study PMs	Paragraph text	Are there any additional study calls that you would like to be held?
13	CDRN study PMs	Radio buttons	<p>Please indicate the frequency of email communications from the study leadership:</p> <p>Too frequent; about right; too infrequent</p>
14	CDRN study PMs	Yes/No	Do you feel as though you receive information about the study from study leadership in a timely manner?
15	CDRN study PMs	Multiple answers/checkboxes	<p>What method of communication do you find to be most helpful for study communications? (Please check all that apply.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conference call / webinar • Email • iMeet Central • PCORnet Weekly Announcement <p>Other (please specify)</p>
16	Study PMs	Paragraph text	<p>Please describe the following for the study-specific publications committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Membership • Scope of Work • Intended deliverables • Deliverable timeline
17	Study PMs	File upload	Please provide a current timeline for the study, including study data activity (Study Specific Data Characterization and aim query development, distribution, and response).

18	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text Paragraph text	Have any study deadlines, originally scheduled for completion between July 1, 2016 – November 1, 2016, changed? 18a. If yes, please specify the deliverable(s). 18b. If yes, what was the process for updating this deadline? Who was involved in the decision-making process for this update?
19	Study PMs	File upload	Please provide a current milestone tracking document for the study that includes study team and overall CDRN completion deliverables. (Please note: we do not need tracking at the CDRN level; we only request an overall milestone tracking document for the study.)
20	Study PMs	Paragraph text	If any study milestones are significantly delayed in their completion, please explain why such delay(s) occurred.
21	Study PIs	Matrix, Yes/No	Did you feel as though you, as the PI for the study, had the autonomy to conduct productive research? In particular, did you feel as though you had the autonomy to make decisions or changes to study plans to ensure the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting study aims • Meeting study milestones/deadlines • Decision-making about DataMart participation in research • Allocation of research funds
22	Study PIs	Paragraph text	Which areas, if any, would you have appreciated additional autonomy?
23	Study PIs	Paragraph text	Which areas, if any, would you have appreciated additional support or guidance from PCORI?
24	Study PIs	Paragraph text	Which areas, if any, would you have appreciated additional support or guidance from the PCORnet CC?
25	CDRN study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have your CDRN's policies related to IRB structure and processes caused any difficulty with the study's IRB application(s) or determination(s)? 25a. If yes, please explain.
26	CDRN study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have your CDRN's policies related to internal and external data sharing for PCORnet research caused any difficulty with execution of the PCORnet Data Sharing Agreement or execution of the study queries distributed to date (Study Specific Data Characterization query)? 26a. If yes, please explain.
27	CDRN study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have your CDRN's policies related to data use (e.g., requirements for data use, data use agreements, limitations of data use, data re-use, etc.) caused any difficulty with your network's ability to execute the Study-Specific Data Characterization study queries?

			27a. If yes, please explain.
28	CDRN study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have your CDRN's policies related to execution of PCORnet data queries caused any difficulty with your network's execution of the Study-Specific Data Characterization query? 28a. If yes, please explain.
29	CDRN study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have your CDRN's policies related to engagement caused any difficulty with your CDRN's involvement in study engagement activity? 29a. If yes, please explain.
30	CDRN study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have your CDRN's policies related to data privacy and confidentiality caused any difficulty with your network's involvement in study data activities (e.g., execution of study queries, sharing of query results with study scientific teams, etc.)? 30a. If yes, please explain.
31	CDRN study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have your CDRN's policies related to publications affected your contribution to any study publications? 31a. If yes, please explain.
32	CDRN study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have your CDRN's policies related to conflicts of interest affected your involvement with study activities so far? 32a. If yes, please explain.
33	CDRN study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have your CDRN's policies related to network decision-making caused any difficulty with your involvement in study activities so far? 33a. If yes, please explain.
Stakeholder Engagement			
34	Study PIs Study PMs Stakeholder engagement reps	Radio buttons	How would you characterize stakeholder engagement in the study activities thus far in the project, based on the following scale: Engagement: 1=Not engaged 2=Somewhat engaged 3=Moderately engaged 4=Very engaged

35	Study PIs Study PMs Stakeholder engagement reps	Paragraph text	Please describe how stakeholders have had the opportunity to contribute to the development of study deliverables between July 1, 2016 – November 1, 2016.
Contracting, IRB, and DSA			
36	Study PMs	Short text	Please report, at the CDRN level, the proportion of participating sites that were contracted by the contracting deadline.
37	Study PMs	Short text	Please report, at the CDRN level, the proportion of participating sites that had received an IRB determination by the IRB deadline.
38	Study PMs	Short text	Please report, at the CDRN level, the proportion of participating sites that had executed the PCORnet DSA by the DSA deadline.
CDM			
39	Study PIs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Do the required CDM v3.0 tables allow for all necessary querying to address the study aims required to date? 39a. If no, what additional CDM v3.0 tables would you want required for study querying?
40	Study PIs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Do the data elements in CDM v3.0 allow for all necessary querying to address the study aims required to date? 40a. If no, what additional data elements would you want available for study querying?
41	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Did the study timeline align with the DRN OC's data characterization timeline for approving DataMarts to participate in research? 41a. If no, how did this affect the study? How did this affect the study team's ability to adhere to their data activity timeline? How did this affect the study team's ability to adhere to their overall study milestone timeline?
DRN			
42	QF team	Paragraph text	Please indicate the time required for development of the wave 1 study-specific data characterization query. 42a. Functional specification development <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date started • Date finalized 42b. Technical specification development <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date started • Date finalized 42c. Program development <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date started

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Date finalized
43	QF team	Yes/No Paragraph text	<p>Did you experience any challenges in meeting your timelines for study query development (e.g., delays from study staff, changes in study timelines, etc.)?</p> <p>43a. If yes, please describe these challenges.</p>
44	QF team	Paragraph text	Please describe the reusable tools developed through the Study Specific Data Characterization (SSDC) queries for use in future PCORnet research.
45	QF team	Paragraph text	Please describe the reusable tools developed through the aim 1 queries for use in future PCORnet research.
46	QF team	Paragraph text	<p>Please indicate the time required for development of the aim 1 study individual-level query.</p> <p>42a. Functional specification development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Date started Date finalized <p>42b. Technical specification development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Date started Date finalized <p>42c. Program development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Date started Date finalized

Email Questions

Question Number	Email Recipients	Question
Operational Processes		
1	Weight cohort lead	Please describe the publication plan for the weight cohort query results.
2	DRN OC	How have the results of the Study Specific Data Characterizations for the obesity studies impacted next steps for new “phases” of the overall PCORnet Data Characterization?

3	DRN OC	<p>[I understand that there has been a big push to get DataMarts, particularly those participating in the obesity studies, from PTR to Research Ready by the end of September, and a lot of progress has been made very quickly.]</p> <p>How has the DRN OC accomplished this rapid data characterization approval?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is this due to a change in prioritization of DRN OC activities, based on the 9/30 deadline for DataMart research readiness? • Did the DataMarts have few issues to fix, and so approval for research readiness could be achieved quickly? <p>How has the DRN OC managed the studies' requests for re-characterization of some DataMarts?</p> <p>How have the rapid characterization and re-characterization of DataMarts participating in the obesity studies affected other DRN OC work?</p>
4	DRN OC	Please provide an up-to-date timeline for DRN OC data characterization activities.
5	DRN OC	Did this timeline align with the timelines for the study data activities? If not, has this timeline had to change to accommodate the needs of the studies? If so, how has this timeline changed?
6	PopMedNet team	<p>Please describe the overall security structure of PopMedNet. Specifically, please describe how PMN allows for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Secure sharing of information with PCORnet members and external researchers • Distributed querying of local data resources to inform study design and conduct analyses while minimizing exchange of PHI • Reduction of the need to exchange PHI through the use of a distributed data model

Obesity Studies Survey and Email Evaluation Questions: Cycle 3

Survey Questions

Question Number	Survey Participants	Question Type	Question
Thank you for your participation in the cycle 3 evaluation survey. Please respond to the following questions based on study activity between November 1, 2015 and March 1, 2017. The evaluation team will use these responses to highlight the successes, challenges, and lessons learned during this cycle of the evaluation, develop recommendations for immediate study and CC action, and share ideas to consider for PCORnet infrastructure development and future PCORnet studies.			
Survey participants: Study PMs, Study PIs, CC liaisons to the studies, Engagement Leadership, QF team			
Operational Processes			
1	Study PMs	Multiple answers/ checkboxes	<p>How do you primarily receive information about CC or DRN OC activities? In particular, please consider how the study team received information about the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization. (Please check all that apply.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Targeted emails from CC or DRN OC leadership • Larger communications, including webinars, to other PCORnet entities, which you are included on • iMeet Central • PCORnet Weekly Announcement • DRN OC tracking documents
2	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph Text	<p>Do you feel well informed of CC, particularly DRN OC, timelines and activities?</p> <p>2a. If no, how do you think this could improve?</p>
3	Study PMs	Matrix, Yes/No	<p>Did the DRN OC provide clear communication with the study teams regarding the implications of the DataMart responses to the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization? In particular:</p> <p>MATRIX:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Were you provided information about DataMart approval or non-approval? • For DataMarts that were not approved, were you provided with information about what prevented their approval? • For Datamarts that were not approved, were you provided with information about the timeline or path to DataMart approval? • Were you provided with information about data issues among approved sites? • Were you provided with information about DataMart needs for re-characterization? • Were you provided with information about DataMart compliance to CDM v3.1 implementation guidelines?

4	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text Paragraph text	<p>Do you think that the DRN OC provided clear communication with the DataMarts about the study participation implications of the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization results?</p> <p>4a. If no, what additional communication would you have appreciated from the DRN OC to the DataMarts regarding the implications of data characterization on study participation?</p> <p>4b. How do you think DRN OC communication regarding the implications of data characterization on study participation could be improved to support future study teams? (Specifically, please provide suggestions about communications for DRN OC processes and the outcomes of data characterizations.)</p>
5	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	At which stages of SSDC query development was the DRN OC QF team critical to query specification, development, and distribution? What specific insight or guidance did the QF team provide at the relevant stage(s) that was not available within the study team?
6	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	At which stages of individual data aims query development was the DRN OC QF team critical to query specification, development, distribution, and management? What specific insight or guidance did the QF team provide at the relevant stage(s) that was not available within the study team?
7	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	At which stages of aggregate data aims query development was the DRN OC QF team critical to query specification, development, distribution, and management? What specific insight or guidance did the QF team provide at the relevant stage(s) that was not available on the study team?
8	Study PIs Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	<p>Is the DRN OC tracking documentation for DataMart readiness and data issues sufficient for the study team's understanding of all DataMarts?</p> <p>8a. If no, how could this tracking documentation be improved to facilitate study team understanding of the DataMarts?</p>
9	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	<p>Please describe any challenges that the study team encountered in working with the Coordinating Center since November 1, 2016, including, but not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications • Leadership • Data infrastructure and querying (e.g., data characterization and research capacity) • Engagement of key stakeholder groups
10	Study PMs	File upload	Please provide a current timeline for the study, including study data activity (Study Specific Data Characterization and aims queries development, distribution, and response).
11	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have any study deadlines, originally scheduled for completion between November 1, 2016 and March 1, 2017, changed?

		Paragraph text	<p>11a. If yes, please specify the deliverable(s).</p> <p>11b. If yes, what was the process for updating this deadline? Who was involved in the decision-making process for this update?</p>
12	Study PMs	Paragraph text	<p>Please describe the process for the study team’s decision-making about DataMart participation in Year 2 of the study contract. In particular, please describe the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What communications were sent to DataMarts prior to decision-making about Y2 participation? • How did these decisions affect Y2 budgets for the study team? • How did these decisions affect Y2 budgets for the DataMarts that could not participate?
13	Study PIs	Matrix, Yes/No	<p>Did you feel as though you, as the PI for the study, had the autonomy to conduct productive research in the PCORnet environment? In particular, did you feel as though you had the autonomy to make decisions or changes to study plans to ensure the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decision-making about DataMart participation in research • Allocation of research funds for Year 2 budgets
14	Study PIs	Paragraph text	Which areas, if any, would you have appreciated additional autonomy?
15	Study PIs	Paragraph text	Which areas, if any, would you have appreciated additional support, input, or guidance from PCORI?
16	Study PIs	Paragraph text	Which areas, if any, would you have appreciated additional support, input, or guidance from the PCORnet CC?
17	CC liaison to the studies	Paragraph text File upload	<p>Please describe the following for the study-specific publications committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Membership • Scope of Work • Intended deliverables • Deliverable timeline <p>17a. Please attach a copy of the document detailing the finalized study approach to study publications.</p>
Stakeholder Engagement			
<p>Stakeholder engagement will be assessed through a survey in development by the engagement leadership. The evaluation team will work with engagement leadership to develop the survey and will review all survey responses.</p> <p>Additional engagement-related questions for the study PMs are listed below.</p>			
18	Engagement leadership	Paragraph text	Please describe the composition of the stakeholder advisory group. Specifically, what stakeholder roles for the members of the stakeholder advisory group (and the numbers of members that fit each of these roles)?

19	Engagement leadership	Paragraph text	Please describe how stakeholder advisory group members were selected for participation as stakeholders for the study?
CDM			
20	Study PIs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Do the required CDM v3.1 tables allow for all necessary querying to address the study aims required to date? 39a. If no, what additional CDM v3.1 tables would you want required for study querying?
21	Study PIs QF team	Yes/No Paragraph text	Do the data elements in CDM v3.1 allow for all necessary querying to address the study aims required to date? 21a. If no, what additional data elements would you want available for study querying?
22	Study PIs QF team	Yes/No Paragraph text	Did the CDM implementation guidance document provide enough detail to ensure data usability for the study? 22a. If no, what additional CDM implementation guidance would have helped to ensure the usability of data for the study?
23	Study PIs Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text Paragraph text	Did the results of the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization provide the study team with any new information about the data availability among study-participating DataMarts? 22a. If yes, what new information did the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization provide? 22b. If yes, did this new information improve your understanding of DataMarts' data availability?
24	Study PIs Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have you encountered any issues in querying the PRESCRIBING and LABS tables? 22a. If yes, please describe these issues. For each issue, please describe how the study team (and/or relevant DataMarts) addressed the problems.
25	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	What has the team learned about DataMart implementation of the PRESCRIBING and LABS tables that could contribute to general PCORnet or future study teams' understanding of this table?
DRN			
26	QF team	File upload Paragraph text	Please attach all tracking documents for the technical specifications and programs for study queries developed and executed between November 1, 2016 and March 1, 2017. 26a. Please provide any additional comments about specification development and programming that are not captured in the date tracking documentation. (For example, comments on the iterative development process, reasons for updates or re-programming, etc.)

27	QF team	Paragraph text	Please describe any new processes and/or tools for study team requests to changes in the technical specifications or query programs. Please include a description of any successes or challenges that were associated with the implementation of these new processes.
28	Study PMs QF team	Yes/No Paragraph text	Did you experience any challenges in following the traditional query development cycle for study query development and execution (e.g., delays from other study activities, changes in study timelines, etc.)? 26a. If yes, please describe these challenges.
29	QF team	File upload	Please attach any documentation related to any reusable tools developed through the Study Specific Data Characterization (SSDC) queries for use in future PCORnet research.
30	QF team	File upload	Please attach any documentation related to any reusable tools developed through the aim 1 queries for use in future PCORnet research.
31	QF team	Paragraph text	Please list any programming rules that the programming team has developed for the PCORnet environment as a result of the study queries executed to date.
32	QF team	Paragraph text	Please list all QF team's budgeted effort for the study versus their actual effort on study activities.
33	QF team	Paragraph text	Please describe the QF team's role on the individual-level query.
34	QF team	Paragraph text	Please describe the QF team's role on the aggregate level query.
35	Study PMs	Paragraph text	Please describe the study team effort required for creation of code lists for the study queries. Please provide the role of the personnel involved in the effort to create the code lists.
36	Study PMs QF team	Paragraph text	Please describe the QF effort required for creation of code lists for the study queries. Please provide the role of the personnel involved in the effort to create the code lists.

CDRN DataMart Administrator Survey Questions

Question Number	Survey Recipients	Question Type	Question
As part of cycle 3 of the Obesity Demonstration Projects Evaluation, the evaluation team would like to understand how the DRN OC communicated about and supported CDRN DataMarts through the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization process. These responses will help us to understand how the CC helps the DataMarts to prepare for study participation. Please respond to the following questions based on your experience with the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization (not the study-specific data characterizations). Thank you very much for your participation.			
1	Study DataMart Administrators	Paragraph text Yes/No	What materials did the DRN OC provide to your DataMart in preparation for your work on the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization?

	TBD: 5 DMs targeted based on study experience with these DMs; 15 DMs to be selected randomly for participation	Yes/No	1a. Were these materials provided in a timely manner? 1b. Were these materials helpful for your preparation for your work on cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization?
2	Study DataMart Administrators	Paragraph text	What additional information do you wish was provided to DataMarts prior to the distribution of the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization (if any)?
3	Study DataMart Administrators	Yes/No	Were members of the DRN OC available to you for questions and troubleshooting while your DataMart executed the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization package?
4	Study DataMart Administrators	Yes/No	Were the criteria for passing the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization communicated clearly with your DataMart? (Y/N)
5	Study DataMart Administrators	Paragraph text	Were the study participation implications of your DataMart's completion of cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization communicated clearly with you?

Email Questions

Email Recipients	Questions
Darcy Louzao	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What information was provided to the DataMarts in preparation for distribution of cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization? When and how was this information provided? • What information was provided to the study teams about the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization? When and how was this information provided? • What are the criteria for passing cycle 2 DRN OC DC? How were these criteria communicated with the CDRNs? • What information was provided to the DataMarts following review of their results to cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization? When and how was this information provided? • What information about DataMart status following the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization was provided to the study teams? When and how was this information provided? • What are the implications for study participation for DataMarts that did not pass the cycle 2 DRN OC data characterization? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ How were these consequences communicated with the DataMarts? ○ How were these consequences communicated with the obesity study teams?

	Please provide an up-to-date timeline for DRN OC data characterization activities.
PopMedNet team	<p>The PCORnet DRN is built to serve as a secure infrastructure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Securely share information with one another and with external researchers • Allow distributed querying of local data resources to inform study design and conduct analyses while minimizing exchange of PHI • Allow efficient reuse of research datasets by new investigators so they can perform analyses on these data • The extent to which the DRN reduces the need to exchange PHI through the use of a distributed data model <p>In order to assess these items, the evaluation team has put together the following list of questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please describe how the use of the DRN allows study teams to avoid exchange of PHI in the queries executed for the studies. • Do the PopMedNet data security policies facilitate PCORnet activities, such as investigator collaboration, engagement of sites and CDRNs, stakeholder engagement, etc.? If so, how? • Do you see any gaps in the PopMedNet data security policies as they apply to PCORnet? If yes, please describe. • Do the PopMedNet data security policies impose any burden on PCORnet activities, such as investigator collaboration, engagement of sites and CDRNs, stakeholder engagement, etc.? If so, how? • Are there any changes you would like to see made to the PMN data security policies? If yes, please describe. • Based on the September configuration test sent to the PCORnet DataMarts, what security issues arose among some DMs that prevented them from responding to this test? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ How has the PMN team worked with these DMs to address their security concerns? • Please provide any additional information you would like to share with us regarding the PopMedNet data security policies and their effect on PCORnet operations.

Obesity Studies Survey Evaluation Questions: Cycle 4

Survey Questions

Question Number	Survey Participants	Question Type	Question
Thank you for your participation in the cycle 4 evaluation survey. Please respond to the following questions based on study activity between March 1, 2017 and July 1, 2017. The evaluation team will use these responses to highlight the successes, challenges, and lessons learned during this cycle of the evaluation, develop recommendations for immediate study team and Coordinating Center (CC) action, and share ideas to consider for PCORnet infrastructure development and future PCORnet studies. (Included in survey settings)			
Survey Participants: Study PIs, Study PMs, QF team			
Operational Processes			
1	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have you felt well informed about the CC timelines and activities (including the DRN OC timelines and activities) since March 1, 2017? If no, how do you think this could improve?
2	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	Have your communications with the CC (including the DRN OC) changed since the previous cycle? If so, how have these communications changed, and how have these changes affected your understanding of CC activities?
3	Study PMs	Paragraph text	Have CC communications with the participating DataMarts taken the obesity studies into account (i.e., have communications from the CC, particularly regarding DRN OC data characterization activities, saved data refreshes, etc., included the study teams before their distribution)? Please describe any successes or challenges with these communications.
4	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	Please describe any challenges that your study team encountered in working with the Coordinating Center since March 1, 2017, including, but not limited to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications • Leadership • Data infrastructure and querying (e.g., data characterization and research capacity) • Engagement of key stakeholder groups
5	Study PMs	File upload	Please provide a current study timeline, including study data activity (Study Specific Data Characterization and aims individual-level and aggregate queries development, distribution, and response).
6	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text Paragraph text	Have any study deadlines, originally scheduled for completion between March 1, 2017 and July 1, 2017, changed?

			<p>If yes, please specify the deliverable(s).</p> <p>If yes, what was the process for updating this deadline? Who was involved in the decision-making process for this update?</p>
7	Study PMs QF Team	Paragraph text	Please describe the CC role in manuscript development so far.
Stakeholder Engagement			
8	Study PMs	Yes/No Paragraph text	<p>Do you feel as though the study engagement activities are appropriately resourced?</p> <p>If no, what activity has required (or currently requires) additional resources? How have these resources been obtained for the study? Did you receive any support from the CC or PCORI in acquiring these resources?</p>
9	Study PMs	Paragraph text	Please describe the current dissemination activities for the study.
Contracting, IRB, and DSA			
10	Study PMs	Paragraph text	If there have been any updates to the DataMart subcontracts since March 1, 2017, please list them below.
CDM			
11	QF team	File upload	Please attach the most recent version of the DataMart study issue tracker.
12	Study PIs QF team	Paragraph text	Please describe any key solutions that the QF and study teams have developed to address CDM implementation issues at the DataMarts.
DRN			
13	QF team	File upload	Please attach the most recent JIRA tracking document, detailing the important development dates for all study queries executed during Cycle 4 (since March 1, 2017).
14	QF team	Paragraph text	Please provide any additional comments about study query specification development and programming that are not captured in the date tracking documentation. (For example, comments on the iterative development process, reasons for updates or re-programming, etc.)
15	Study PMs QF team	Yes/No Paragraph text	<p>Did you experience any challenges in following the traditional query development cycle for study query development and execution (e.g., delays from other study activities, changes in study timelines, etc.)?</p> <p>If yes, please describe these challenges.</p>
16	Study PIs	Paragraph text	Please describe the key successes and challenges associated with the health plan data linkage activity to date.

	Study PMs		
17	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	Please describe the key successes and challenges associated with the mom-baby linkage activity to date.
Research Readiness			
18	QF team	Paragraph text	Please describe any new programming rules developed for the studies' aggregate queries that may be used for future studies. (Descriptive text)
19	QF team	Paragraph text	Based on your work on the study queries, what DRN OC data checks should be required of DataMarts prior to their approval for participation in PCORnet research studies?
20	QF team	Paragraph text	Based on your work on the study queries, what types of standard data checks should be included as part of future PCORnet study-specific data characterizations?
21	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	Please use this space to list any additional study activities or challenges that are worth highlighting as part of this evaluation cycle (e.g., presentations, meetings, unanticipated challenges, etc.).

Obesity Studies Survey and Discussion Evaluation Questions: Cycle 5

Survey Questions

Question Number	Question Participants	Question Type	Question
Thank you for your participation in the Cycle 5 evaluation survey. Please respond to the following questions based on study activity between July 2017 and October 2017. The evaluation team will use these responses to highlight the successes, challenges, and lessons learned during this cycle of the evaluation, develop recommendations for immediate study team and Coordinating Center (CC) action, and share ideas to consider for PCORnet infrastructure development and future PCORnet studies. (Included in survey settings)			
Survey Participants: Study PIs, Study PMs, QF team, DRN OC, Engagement leadership, Select additional study team members			
Operational Processes			
1	Study PMs	File upload	Please upload a current study timeline.
2	Study PMs	Yes/No	Have any study deadlines changed?
2a	Study PMs	Paragraph text	If yes, please specify the deliverable(s).
3	Study PIs Study PMs QF team	Yes/No	Do you feel that all project meetings contribute to the productivity of the study?
3a	Study PIs Study PMs QF team	Paragraph text	If no, please describe what you would change about the study meeting structure to increase productivity for the study.
Stakeholder Engagement			
4	Study PMs Engagement leadership	Paragraph text	Please describe any successes and/or challenges with engaging stakeholders in study analytic activity.
5	Study PMs Engagement leadership	Paragraph text	Please describe any successes and/or challenges with study dissemination activities since July 1, 2017.
6	Engagement leadership	Paragraph text	Please describe the specific approaches to engagement that you have implemented for specific study stakeholder groups.
7	Engagement leadership	Paragraph text	Please describe approaches to engagement of study stakeholders that were not successful in maintaining stakeholder engagement.
8	Engagement leadership	Paragraph text	Based on your experiences thus far during the obesity studies, please describe the approaches to stakeholder engagement that you would recommend for future PCORnet studies.
9	Engagement leadership	Paragraph text	Based on your experiences thus far during the obesity studies, please describe any approaches to stakeholder engagement that you would NOT recommend for future PCORnet studies.
10	Study PMs	Paragraph text	Please describe the process for working with the collaborative authorship group during manuscript development. Please list any specific successes or challenges with this process and engagement of the collaborative authorship group.

	Select additional study team members		
11	Engagement leadership	Paragraph text	PCORnet is working toward creating infrastructure to facilitate effective stakeholder engagement in research activities. Considering the needs of the studies, what centralized stakeholder engagement infrastructure would improve engagement activities for future PCORnet studies?
CDM			
12	QF team	File upload	Please attach the most recent version of the DataMart issue tracker.
13	DRN OC	Paragraph text	What centralized data checks are the DRN OC considering for the next cycle of the DRN OC data characterization? Please provide a list of required and investigative checks.
14	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	What recommendations did the ABX and PBS studies provide to the DRN OC for future DRN OC data characterizations?
15	DRN OC	Paragraph text	What is the current system for the DRN OF to communicate with study investigators about DataMart information, including specific issues related to the extract, transform, and load (ETL) process and the DRN OC data curation activity?
16	Study PIs	Yes/No	Did the variables included in the PCORnet CDM allow you to conduct the analyses as you planned for your study?
16a	Study PIs	Paragraph text	(If no) Please describe why not.
17	Study PIs	Yes/No	Are the CDM data variables of required quality for your study analyses?
17a	Study PIs	Paragraph text	(If no) Please describe why not.
DRN			
18	QF team	File upload	Please attach the most recent JIRA tracking document, detailing the important development dates for all study queries executed during Cycle 5 (since July 1, 2017).
19	QF team	Paragraph text	Please provide any additional comments about ABX and PBS query specification development and programming that are not captured in the date tracking documentation. (For example, comments on the iterative development process, reasons for updates or re-programming, etc.)
20	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	Please describe the key successes and challenges associated with the health plan data linkage activity since July 1, 2017.
21	Study PMs	Paragraph text	Please describe the key successes and challenges associated with the mom-baby linkage activity since July 1, 2017.
Research Readiness			
22	Study PIs QF team Select additional study team members	Paragraph text	PCORnet is working toward creating infrastructure that will allow for PCORnet queries to be executed rapidly. Considering the needs of the ABX and PBS studies, what centralized PCORnet data checks would have increased the efficiency of the ABX and PBS SSDCs and additional aims queries?
23	Study PIs Study PMs	Paragraph text	Please use this space to list any additional study activities or challenges that are worth highlighting as part of this evaluation cycle (e.g., presentations, meetings, unanticipated challenges, etc.).

For discussion with DRN OC teams (Duke and HPHCI) via conference call

DRN OC activities and study support

- DRN OC data checks
 - What information data checks provide
 - Review DC 3.11 specifications and summarize overarching types of data checks
 - What information is missing based on study needs
 - Cycle 4 report suggestions for PCORnet centralized data checks
 - DRN OC challenges with implementing more stringent data checks
 - Decisions regarding required v. investigative data checks
- PCORnet plans for QF centers and QF training (informative)
- Management of DataMarts in future studies
 - DM selection
 - DM issue troubleshooting
 - Management of communications with DataMarts